## Eastern Illinois University The Keep

#### Masters Theses

Student Theses & Publications

1994

# Frequency of Attainment of Plateau in Maximal Oxygen Consumption in Differentially Trained Athletes

Jeffrey Michael Seda

This research is a product of the graduate program in Physical Education at Eastern Illinois University. Find out more about the program.

#### **Recommended** Citation

Seda, Jeffrey Michael, "Frequency of Attainment of Plateau in Maximal Oxygen Consumption in Differentially Trained Athletes" (1994). *Masters Theses*. 2056. https://thekeep.eiu.edu/theses/2056

This is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Theses & Publications at The Keep. It has been accepted for inclusion in Masters Theses by an authorized administrator of The Keep. For more information, please contact tabruns@eiu.edu.



## THESIS REPRODUCTION CERTIFICATE

TO: Graduate Degree Candidates (who have written formal theses)

SUBJECT: Permission to Reproduce Theses

The University Library is receiving a number of requests from other institutions asking permission to reproduce dissertations for inclusion in their library holdings. Although no copyright laws are involved, we feel that professional courtesy demands that permission be obtained from the author before we allow theses to be copied.

#### PLEASE SIGN ONE OF THE FOLLOWING STATEMENTS:

Booth Library of Eastern Illinois University has my permission to lend my thesis to a reputable college or university for the purpose of copying it for inclusion in that institution's library or research holdings.

13/5 Author

I respectfully request Booth Library of Eastern Illinois University <u>not</u> allow my thesis to be reproduced because:

Author

Date

#### FREQUENCY OF ATTAINMENT OF PLATEAU IN MAXIMAL OXYGEN

#### CONSUMPTION IN DIFFERENTIALLY TRAINED ATHLETES (TITLE)

ΒY

#### JEFFREY MICHAEL SEDA

### **THESIS**

#### SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

MASTER OF SCIENCE

IN THE GRADUATE SCHOOL, EASTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY CHARLESTON, ILLINOIS

> 1994 YEAR

#### I HEREBY RECOMMEND THIS THESIS BE ACCEPTED AS FULFILLING THIS PART OF THE GRADUATE DEGREE CITED ABOVE

12/5/94 DATE 12/5/94 DATE

ADVISER

DEPARTMENT HEAD

#### ABSTRACT

A plateau in oxygen consumption during exercise testing has been considered a requisite indicator of achievement of true maximal oxygen consumption. The wide range of reported percentages of subjects revealing a plateau (7 to 80 percent) indicates that closer analysis of factors that may influence plateau attainment is necessary. This study examined the frequency of plateau attainment in two groups of differentially trained subjects. One group (TR) of 12 males (ages 18-36) were trained runners and the other group (WL) of 12 males (ages 18-31) consisted of trained weight lifters. The subjects participated in a series of maximal exercise tests performed on a cycle ergometer equipped with toe straps.

Subjects first completed a continuous graded exercise test which started at a power output of 720 kgm/min and increased every two minutes until exhaustion. Subjects then completed a series of daily discontinuous supramaximal exercise tests, which consisted of maintaining a preset power output (beginning the a next higher power output than the highest power output obtained on the continuous test) for at least 90 seconds. The subsequent constant power outputs were increased until the subject could no longer perform the power output for at least 90 seconds. The pedal frequency was increased for the higher discontinuous power outputs.

The criteria for determination of a plateau was less

ii

than a 0.15 L/min increase in oxygen consumption between the peak  $VO_2$  obtained on a continuous test and the mean of the peak values obtained on each of the supramaximal discontinuous tests.

The results showed 92% (11) of trained runners and 83% (10) of trained weightlifters attained a plateau in oxygen consumption. The calculated Chi Square value was not statistically significant (p <.05). The results reveal a high percentage (88%) of subjects attaining a plateau in oxygen consumption with no significant difference between the differentially trained groups under the conditions studied.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to take this opportunity to acknowledge the people who helped make this thesis possible.

First I would like to thank Ben Timson Ph.D. for his help as a principle investigator and as an advisor.

I would like to thank the members of my thesis committee: Phyllis Croisant, Ph.D., Thomas Woodall Ph.D., and Russell Fisher PhD. for their patience and understanding in allowing me to complete this project.

I would like to thank Eastern Illinois University for the invaluable education and unforgettable experiences.

I would like to send special thanks to my family (Denise, Matthew, and Sarah), and to my parents James and Mary Seda for their love and support.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
LIST	OF	TABLES	.vīi
LIST	OF	FIGURES	viii

## I. INTRODUCTION

Introduction1	
Purpose of the Study	
Null Hypothesis	
Delimitations4	
Definitions4	

#### II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Introduction
Brief History of Plateau Concept6
Criteria for the Definition of Plateau7
Reproducibility and Reliability8
Frequency of Attainment of Plateau9
Testing Protocols Used in Maximal Testing10
Continuous Versus Discontinuous Testing11
Workrate Increase in Maximal Testing12
Subjects Used in Maximal Testing
Children as Subjects
Elderly as Subjects14
Modes in Measuring Oxygen Consumption14
Muscle Mass Used in Maximal Testing15
Specificity of Training
Sampling Methods in Maximal O2 Consumption18
Summary
4

## III. METHODS

Introduction	21
Subjects	1
Testing Procedures2	22
Procedures for Treating Data2	24

## IV. ANALYSIS OF THE DATA

Introduction	25
Graphical Treatment of Data	25
Statistical Treatment	26
Results	26
Discussion	29

## LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1.	Subject Characteristics	21
2.	Power Outputs Used in Maximal Tests	23
3.	Peak VO2 Values For Each Test (WL)	27
4.	Peak VO2 Values For Each Test (TR)	28
5.	2 x 2 Contingency Table for Frequencies	29
6.	Chi Square 2 x 2 Contingency Table	69

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	2					,			<u>Page</u>
1.	Graph	of	<b>vo</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#1)	43
2.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#2)	44
3.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#3)	45
4.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#4)	46
5.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#5)	47
6.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#6)	48
7.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#7)	49
8.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#8)	50
9.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#9)	51
10.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#10)	52
11.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#11)	53
12.	Graph	of	<b>vo</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#12)	54
13.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#13)	56
14.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#14)	57
15.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#15)	58
16.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#16)	59
17.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#17)	60
18.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#18)	61
19.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#19)	62
20.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#20)	63
21.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#21)	64
22.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#22)	65
23.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#23)	66
24.	Graph	of	<b>VO</b> <sub>2</sub>	vs	Power	Output	(Subject	#24)	67

viii

#### CHAPTER I

#### INTRODUCTION

Maximal oxygen consumption is probably the single most commonly measured parameter in exercise physiology. It is generally considered to be the best physiological criterion for measuring the capacity for energy expenditure during heavy muscular work (Robinson, 1938; Taylor et al., 1955; Astrand, 1959; Balke and Ware, 1959). One of the most fundamental beliefs in exercise physiology is that performance during maximal exercise of relatively short duration is limited by the inability to deliver oxygen at a rate sufficient to fuel energy production by the active muscle mass. This belief was initially formed as a result of the original studies of Hill and Lupton (1923), (cited in Noakes, 1988) and Hill, Long and Lupton (1924) (cited in Noakes, 1988) and has been supported by studies of Taylor et al. (1955), and more recently by Wyndham et al. (1969, The evidence of such a condition can best be 1966). demonstrated in the graph of power output vs. oxygen consumption relationship. Oxygen consumption increases linearly with power output until agiven point, which may be different for each individual. At this point oxygen consumption no longer increases with an increase in power output. This point where there is no further increase in oxygen consumption, referred to as the "plateau phenomenon", is classically defined as maximal oxygen consumption (Hill

and Lupton, 1923 (cited in Noakes, 1988); Hill, Long and Lupton, 1924 (cited in Noakes, 1988); Taylor, 1955). Taylor et al. (1955) identified 108 of 115 subjects as having attained a plateau in oxygen consumption. Wyndham et al. (1959, 1966), using different criteria, concluded that in none of their subjects was a true plateau in oxygen consumption reached. Mitchell (1958) failed to show a plateau in oxygen consumption in 28% of subjects. Cumming and Borysyk (1972) reported that only 43% of their subjects showed evidence of a plateau. Cumming and Friesen (1967) and Cunningham et al. (1977) reported a plateau in less than 50% of young boys, whereas Sidney and Shepard (1977) demonstrated a plateau in approximately 75% of elderly subjects. Froelicher et al. (1974) observed a plateau in VO<sub>2</sub> in only 7%, 17%, and 33% of subjects using three different treadmill protocols. Pollock et al. (1976) reported a plateau in 69 to 80% of subjects using four different protocols. Katch et al. (1982) demonstrated a plateauing in 61% of tests performed. Davies (1984) showed that 74% of trained runners were able to obtain a plateauing of VO<sub>2</sub> with 94% attainment in all subjects. Freedson et al. (1986) found less than 40% of 301 adults undergoing maximal exercise testing reached a plateau in oxygen consumption. Recently Noakes (1988) proposed an interpretation for the absence of the plateau phenomenon during a VO2 max test. He suggested that a test without a plateau was limited by

muscle power of the subject rather than by the ability of the heart to deliver oxygen to the working muscle. The implication is that if the muscles were able to perform at a greater power output, the heart would be able to respond with a greater cardiac output. One way to test this hypothesis would be to perform VO2 max tests on a group of individuals with a high relative cardiovascular fitness to muscle power ratio (trained distance athletes) and on a group of individuals with a high relative muscle power to cardiovascular fitness ratio (trained weight lifters). A low number of individuals obtaining a plateau in the former group and a high number of individuals obtaining a plateau in the latter group would support the hypothesis that in individuals unable to obtain a plateau, VO2 max is limited by muscle power rather than oxygen delivery.

#### Purpose of the Study

The purpose of the present study is to compare whether a difference in frequency of attainment of a "plateau" in oxygen consumption can be observed in the power output vs. oxygen consumption relationship in highly trained endurance athletes versus highly trained strength athletes.

#### Null Hypothesis

There will is no significant difference in the frequency with which an oxygen consumption plateau is exhibited by endurance trained athletes compared to weight

trained athletes.

#### <u>Delimitations</u>

The subjects consisted of trained distance runners and trained weight lifters. The trained distance runners were 11 members of the Eastern Illinois University cross country team and one additional trained runner. Ten members of the Eastern Illinois University football team and two additional trained weight lifters, formed the weight lifting group.

#### **Definitions**

#### Power Output

A predetermined unit of power (kgm/min) that remained constant for a given time period and either remained constant or was progressively increased during a testing procedure to elicit a given power output.

#### <u>VO2 Peak</u>

The highest value of oxygen consumption obtained during either the continuous test or any one discontinuous test for an individual.

#### <u>Plateau</u>

Less than a 0.15 increase in peak  $VO_2$  with an increase in power output.

#### CHAPTER II

#### RELATED LITERATURE

#### Introduction

The purpose of the present study was to compare whether a difference in frequency of attainment of a "plateau" in oxygen consumption can be observed in trained endurance athletes versus trained strength athletes.

This review of the related literature will focus on the following areas:

- Brief history of the concept of a plateau in oxygen consumption
- 2. The criteria for defining a plateau in oxygen consumption
- 3. Reproducibility and reliability in measuring maximal oxygen consumption
- 4. The frequency of attainment of a plateau in oxygen consumption
- 5. Testing protocols used affecting plateau attainment in oxygen consumption
- 6. Subjects used in testing maximal oxygen consumption
- 7. Modes in measuring maximal oxygen consumption
- 8. Muscle mass used in maximal testing
- 8. Sampling methods in measuring maximal oxygen consumption

#### Brief History

Maximal oxygen consumption is the single most commonly used measurement in exercise physiology. This is because it is considered to be the best physiological criterion for the ability to sustain heavy muscular work (Robinson 1938, Taylor et al. 1955, Astrand 1959, Balke and Ware 1959). The earliest investigations to measure oxygen consumption during exercise in athletes were conducted by Lindhard (1915, 1920) (cited in Noakes, 1988) at the University of Copenhagen, Liljestrand and Stenstrom (1920) (cited in Noakes, 1988) at the Karolinska Institute in Stockholm and Herbst (1928) in Berlin (cited in Noakes, 1988). These studies reported that oxygen consumption increased with running (Herbst, 1928) (cited in Noakes, 1988), Liljestrand et al. 1920 (cited in Noakes, 1988) or swimming speed (Liljestrand et al. 1920 (cited in Noakes, 1988) and that the fastest runners had the highest values for oxygen consumption (Herbst, 1928) (cited in Noakes, 1988). Herbst (1928) (cited in Noakes, 1988) also showed that the rate of oxygen consumption when running on a treadmill was greatest at 280 steps per minute which first implicated a defined maximal rate.

The concept of an oxygen consumption plateau was first introduced by Hill and Lupton in 1923. They concluded that "Oxygen consumption reaches a plateau during exercise of progressively increasing intensity". Since then more recent studies of Taylor (1955), and Wyndham et al. (1959, 1966)

have also examined the concept of an oxygen consumption plateau during exercise.

#### Criteria for Defining Plateau in Oxygen Consumption

The point where there is no further increase in oxygen consumption with progressively increasing work (plateau phenomenon) is classically defined as maximal oxygen consumption (VO2 max) (Hill and Lupton 1923 (cited in Noakes, 1988), Hill et al. 1924 (cited in Noakes, 1988), Taylor et al. 1955). Taylor et al. (1955) defined an oxygen consumption plateau as an increase in oxygen consumption of less than 0.15 l/min with an increase in workload. Mitchell et al. (1957), used a criteria of less than a 0.54 liter increase in  $O_2$  consumption with successive workloads. Wyndham et al. (1959, 1966) proposed that the VO<sub>2</sub> max value should be taken as the mean of three values falling on the plateau, provided they did not differ by more than 0.15 l/min. Cumming and Borysyk (1972) used a criteria of less than 90% of oxygen consumption below the expected value in studying males above 40. Stamford (1976) used changes in VO<sub>2</sub> of less than .112 ml/min between successive stages to be indicative of a "Leveling off" of VO2. Others have simply suggested either the use of similar values, no increase or no decline in  $VO_2$  with an increase in exercise intensity (Davies et al. 1984, McMiken and Daniels 1976, Shephard et al. 1968).

#### Reproducibility and Reliability of VO2 max

A number of investigators have discussed or directly investigated the variability of  $VO_2$  max values. The variability of  $VO_2$  max for an individual can be classified into several sources: technological error and biovariation (biological fluctuation). The technological error is computed for each piece of equipment as the standard deviation of multiple trials and included the variable error of the instruments used to measure and calculate  $VO_2$  max, uncontrolled environmental factors, reading errors, and other unidentified errors. The biological variation is reflective of the inherent biological variation of the organism and was computed as the standard deviation for the  $VO_2$  max values for each individual, after subtracting the net technological error.

Taylor et al. (1955) analyzed the variance in five duplicate tests on 12 subjects, in 28 duplicate determinations of  $VO_2$  max they found that the difference between individuals was highly significant while the trend over time was not. The coefficient of variation for the means over time was 2.4%. In their group it was estimated that 18.7% of the variability was random, 80.5% was accounted for by differences between individuals, and less than 1% was accounted for by day-to-day variability or technological error.

In an earlier investigation, Taylor (1944) reported

that 30% of the total variability could be accounted for by biological variation and less than 1% was due to technological error. Katch et al. (1982), using repeat testing on the same subjects revealed a combined source (technological and biological) of variance of  $\pm$  5.6%. Biological variability accounted for 90% or more of the combined variability while technological error accounted for less than 10%. They suggested that in light of the magnitude of biological variation, multiple tests may be necessary to secure reliable control values when using measures of VO<sub>2</sub> max.

#### Frequency of Plateau Attainment

A number of investigations have reported on the frequency of plateau attainment in  $VO_2max$ . Taylor et al. (1955) identified 108 of 115 subjects as having attained a plateau in oxygen consumption. A study by Wyndham in 1959 showed that none of his subjects reached a true plateau in oxygen consumption. Mitchell (1958), using essentially the same testing procedure as Taylor et al. (1955), failed to show a plateau in oxygen consumption in 28% of subjects. Cumming and Borysyk (1972) showed that only 43% of their subjects showed evidence of a plateau. Cumming and Friesen (1967) and Cunningham et al. (1977) reported a plateau in less than 50% of young boys, whereas Sidney and Shepard (1977) demonstrated a plateau in approximately 75% of elderly subjects. Pollock et al. (1976) reported a plateau

in 69 to 80% of subjects using four different protocols. Of 80 tests performed, Katch et al. (1982) demonstrated a plateauing in 61% of the tests. Davies et al. (1984), showed that 74% of his trained runners were able to obtain a plateauing of oxygen consumption and a plateau was not obtained in only 6% of the total tests performed. Stamford et al. (1978) demonstrated that tests preceded by severe exhaustion did not demonstrate an adequate plateau, even though the VO<sub>2</sub> max values were not different than those obtained when a plateauing was observed. The most recent study relevant to the plateau phenomenon is that of Freedson et al. (1986). They found that less than 40% of 301 adults undergoing maximal exercise testing showed a plateau in oxygen consumption according to the criteria of Mitchell (1958) and Taylor (1955).

#### Testing Protocols in Maximal O<sub>2</sub> Consumption Studies

Original investigations of maximal oxygen consumption included those by Hill et al. in 1924 (cited in Noakes, 1988), Taylor et al. in 1955, and Mitchell et al. in 1958. These investigators employed discontinuous protocols performed on the same day with rest periods between stages. Shephard et al. (1968) recommended that a continuous test with 2 minute stages be used. Continuous testing protocols were subsequently developed primarily for economy of administrative time in testing large groups of subjects.

#### Continuous Versus Discontinuous Testing Protocols

A number of studies have compared continuous and discontinuous protocols. In 1971 Maksud and others compared continuous and discontinuous protocols on the treadmill. The continuous protocol began at 7 mph, keeping the speed constant and increasing the grade 2.5% every minute until exhaustion. The discontinuous protocol involved one 3 minute workload per day and began at 7 mph and 2.5% grade with subsequent increases of 2.5% grade each day. Their results showed no significant difference between continuous and discontinuous VO2 max values. In 1976 Stamford also compared continuous and discontinuous protocols on the treadmill. He used the same continuous protocol as Maksud but with 2 min stages and the same discontinuous protocol but with 10 min rests between stages rather than full days. He also found no significant difference between continuous and discontinuous VO2 max values. In 1973 McArdle and others looked at continuous and discontinuous protocols using both the treadmill and bicycle ergometer. They used the same continuous and discontinuous treadmill protocols as Stamford, but began at 6 mph. The bicycle ergometer continuous protocol began at a power output of 720 kgm/min with increases of 180 kgm/min in 2 min stages until exhaustion. The discontinuous protocol used the same power outputs, but used 5 minute stages with 10 minutes rest between stages rather than full days. They found no

significant difference between continuous and discontinuous tests in either mode, but found approximately 10% greater treadmill max values than bicycle ergometer VO<sub>2</sub> max values.

#### Workrate Increase in Maximal Testing

A number of studies have looked at the rate of increase in workrate as a factor in maximal oxygen consumption testing. Froelicher et al. (1974) compared three different treadmill testing protocols using 15 male subjects. They found a statistically significant difference in VO2 max between the Bruce and the Balke protocols. They also reported a plateauing in 33, 17, and 7 percent of subjects for the Taylor, Balke, and Bruce protocols respectively. Davies et al. (1982) used a continuous ramp protocol on a cycle ergometer and found that the men studied had similar VO<sub>2</sub> max values regardless of the workrate increase. Pollock et al. (1976) analyzed four different protocols for maximal treadmill testing. They noted that VO2 max values for all four protocols correlated highly with no significant difference between the tests. The investigators reported a plateau effect in 69, 69, 59, and 80 percent of subjects with Balke, Bruce, Ellestad, and Astrand protocols respectively. Zhan et al. (1991) examined the effect of testing protocol on aerobic parameters. They tested eight men using a cycle ergometer with four different protocols of increasing workrate. The different protocols included: ramp; 1 min step; 2 min step; and 3 min step. No

significant difference was found among the four workrate protocols. They concluded that parameters of aerobic function during maximal testing were independent of the type or pattern of workrate increase.

#### Subjects Used in Maximal Testing

A variety of subjects have been used in studies to help identify the frequency of plateau attainment in maximal oxygen consumption (Mitchell et al. 1957, Pollock et al. 1976, Katch et al. 1982, Davies et al. 1984, Freedson et al. 1986). A majority of the subjects have been men and women between the ages of 20 and 40. However there have been few studies that use children and elderly subjects to determine frequency of plateau attainment in  $O_2$  consumption.

#### Children as Subjects

Cumming and Friesen in 1967 found only 35% (7 of 20) revealed a plateau in oxygen consumption in a group of 12 to 15 year old boys. They concluded that the difference between plateau and non-plateau groups may have been due to factors indicative of anaerobic metabolism. Sheehan et al. (1987) evaluated 10 to 12 year old boys during walking, continuous running, and intermittent running and observed a plateau in 31, 56, and 69, percent of subjects, respectively. Rowland et al. (1992), using 15 subjects (ages 8 to 11) demonstrated 33% having plateaued using a treadmill. They used a plateau criteria of less than a 2.0 ml/kg/min increase in during the "ultimate exercise minute". The authors conclude that because a majority of the children reached a point of exhaustion without a plateau, oxygen uptake may not serve as the limiting factor during treadmill testing. The authors also suggest that attainment of a plateau is independent of subject effort, aerobic fitness, and anaerobic factors.

#### <u>Elderly as Subjects</u>

Several studies have looked at the frequency of plateau in maximal oxygen consumption attainment in elderly subjects. Cumming and Boresyk (1972) were the first to study the criteria for defining maximal oxygen consumption in individuals over 40. They studied 65 men between 40 and 65 years old using a cycle ergometer. They looked at the frequency of oxygen consumption values that were less than 90% of expected for the workload. They reported only 43% of subjects as having attained their plateau criteria despite nearly 80% of subjects having attained predicted heart rate maximum. Sidney and Shephard (1977) studied a group of men and women ages 60 to 83 and demonstrated a plateau in 69% of males and 66% of females using a cycle ergometer.

#### Modes in Measuring Oxygen Consumption

The specific mode of exercise used for testing has a definite influence on the  $VO_2$  max response. McConnell et al. (1984) found that the  $VO_2$  max obtained on a motorized

treadmill was 10.2, 26.4, and 28.6% higher than that obtained during upright leg ergometry, supine leg ergometry and arm ergometry, respectively. McArdle & Magel (1970) found that subjects had a 9.9% lower VO2 max during leg ergometry than during treadmill testing. Of their subjects, the only two who had higher VO<sub>2</sub> max values during leg ergometry were heavy recreational cyclists. Other investigators have shown similar differences between treadmill and leg ergometry testing ranging from 5 to 11% (Astrand 1967; Astrand & Saltin 1961; Diaz et al. 1978; Faulkner et al. 1971; Glassford et al. 1965; Hammond & Froelicher 1984; Harrison et al. 1980; Hermansen & Saltin 1969; Hermansen et al. 1970; Kamon & Pandolf 1972; McArdle et al. 1973; McKay & Banister 1976; Miles et al. 1980; Neiderberger et al. 1974; Shephard et al. 1968; Smodlaka 1982; Stamford 1975, 1976).

#### Muscle Mass Used in Maximal Testing

Several studies have emphasized the importance of the size of working muscle mass used in obtaining  $VO_2$  max. In subjects having normal arm and shoulder mass, maximal arm work produces a  $VO_2$  max averaging approximately 70% of treadmill  $VO_2$  max. (Bevgard, et al. 1967; Stenburg, 1966; Stenburg et al. 1967). Gollnick et al. (1972) demonstrated however, that when these muscles are extremely developed by specialized use (for example, in weight lifters or competitive canoers) combined arm and shoulder mass may be

sufficient to reach 79-97% of  $VO_2$  max. Peak  $VO_2$  on a bicycle ergometer has been shown to be 11% less than peak VO<sub>2</sub> while running up a grade on a treadmill (Astrand et al., 1961; Faulkner et al., 1971; Hermansen et al., 1970; 1969, Kamon et al., 1972; Miyamura et al., 1972). This differernce has been attributed to the smaller muscle mass used in cycling. The treadmill is generally regarded as providing a true measure of  $VO_2$  max, as it is not increased by addition of extra muscle groups. Kamon et al. (1972) reviewed the literature and experimentally reexamined this point. They noted that vigorous exertion employing both arms and legs combined raised peak VO<sub>2</sub> above values measured on the bicycle but not on the treadmill. They concluded that the lower peak in arm and bicycle exercise may result either from utilization of less than the 50% of the total muscle mass necessary to elicit max or from the high intramuscular pressures in maximal cycling, which could restrict muscle blood flow. Secher et al. (1974) looked at maximal O<sub>2</sub> consumption in arm, leg and combined arm plus leg exercise compared to treadmill values. They reported that mean VO2 max during combined arms and legs exercise was 6% greater than leg exercise and equal to that found with treadmill running. Shephard et al. (1988) studied 8 men and 8 women using four types of ergometry. They tested each subject while using 2 legs, 1 leg + 1 arm, arms and shoulders, and arms only while breathing room air and while

breathing 12% oxygen. They reported a plateau in oxygen consumption in only 2 (25%) of the men and 4 (50%) of the women. They also showed a linear relationship between active muscle mass volume and maximal oxygen intake.

#### Specificity of Training

The more closely a laboratory can simulate during the test the specific muscular action involved in training, the more objective and valuable the  $VO_2$  max assessment (Davies et al. 1984). Corry & Powers (1982) demonstrated the importance of using specifically trained muscle groups by comparing trained runners to trained swimmers. They found that the runners had significantly higher  $VO_2$  max values during treadmill exercise (70.2 ml/kg/min) when compared with swimmers (60.0 ml/kg/min). But, when an arm pulling motion similar to that of swimming was used, the swimmers obtained significantly greater values than runners (47.1 ml/kg/min vs 37.4 ml/kg/min). Pechar et al. (1974) examined the specificity of training in subjects using a treadmill and a bicycle ergometer. They tested subjects (20) on both the treadmill and the bicycle ergometer for maximal oxygen consumption before and after training on both modes. They showed that after 8 weeks of treadmill training the improvement of  $VO_2$  max was independent of the mode used to measure VO<sub>2</sub> max. However, the VO<sub>2</sub> max improvement for the bike trained group differed significantly depending on the mode of VO<sub>2</sub> max evaluation. McArdle et al. (1978) found

that following a running program individuals improved their treadmill max by 6.3%, while their swimming VO<sub>2</sub> max improved by only 2.6%. Earlier, they had demonstrated that swim training did not significantly improve VO<sub>2</sub> max values obtained during a running test (Magal et al. 1975).

#### Sampling Methods in Measuring Maximal O<sub>2</sub> Consumption

The introduction of automated in-line sampling of expired gases (Beaver et al. 1973, Auchincloss et al. 1971) has greatly improved the precision with which to measure oxygen consumption during exercise testing. However, several studies have recently suggested that different sampling methods have an effect on maximal oxygen consumption values (Matthews et al. 1987, Myers et al. 1989) and the ability to detect a plateau. Matthews et al. (1987) compared several automated systems to the more traditional non-automated system. They tested 12 men (age 26-41) on a cycle ergometer using a 25 watt/min ramp protocol. Different sampling intervals (10 to 60 seconds) were used to analyze the same data for each individual's test. They reported differences of up to 20% for maximal values. The 60 second interval was the most comparable to the nonautomated system. Walsh et al. (1989) examined the variability of O<sub>2</sub> consumption values using different sampling techniques. They tested 10 subjects performing steady state exercise at 50% of VO2 max on a treadmill. During 5 minutes of testing they sampled data using a

variety of sampling intervals (10 to 60 seconds) and three different moving averages. They observed a high variability (4.5 ml/kg) associated with small sampling intervals and less variability (0.8 ml/kg) in both larger sampling intervals and the moving averages. Meyers et al. (1990) looked at the effect of sampling variability and plateau attainment during maximal treadmill testing using six subjects. They used an eight breath moving average to sample data. They examined the slope of the change in oxygen consumption and found that only two of the subjects revealed a plateau in oxygen consumption using the criteria of Taylor (1955).

#### Summary

The classical concept of maximal oxygen consumption (Hill and Lupton 1923) assumed that as power output was increased a plateau of oxygen consumption would be reached. Taylor et al. (1955) established that a plateau in oxygen consumption had been reached when there was less than 0.15 1/min of an increase in oxygen consumption with a subsequent workrate. The reported frequency of attainment of this plateau ranges from 7% to as high as 96% of subjects (Hill et al. 1923; Mitchell 1958;Cumming and Borysyk 1972; Cummming and Friesen 1967; Cunningham 1977; Sidney and Shephard 1977; Katch et al. 1982; Davies et al. 1984; Stamford 1976; Pollock 1977; Stamford et al. 1978; Freedson et al. 1986). A number of factors may affect whether or not

a plateau in oxygen consumption can be observed. These include criteria for plateau, protocols used, subjects used, modes used, muscle mass used, and sampling methods used.

#### CHAPTER 3

#### METHODS

#### <u>Introduction</u>

The purpose of the present study is to compare whether a difference in frequency of attainment of a "plateau" in oxygen consumption can be observed in the power output vs. oxygen consumption relationship in highly trained endurance athletes versus highly trained strength athletes. This chapter will present the methodology used in this study. It will be divided into areas of: subject selection, testing procedures, and procedures for treating the data.

#### <u>Subjects</u>

The subjects consisted of 24 male volunteers divided into two groups of 12. The group of trained runners (TR), aged 18-36 years, included eleven Eastern Illinois University cross country team members and one other runner. None of the runners were involved in any form of weight training activity. The group of weight lifters (WL) included individuals (ages 18-31) who had been involved regularly with weight training for more than one year and had not been involved in any other form of regular exercise. Table 1 describes the physical characteristics of each group of subjects, including age, height, and weight.

#### Table 1

Group	Age (yrs)	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	
Weight	22.1	180.3	92.4	
Lifters	<u>+</u> 1.4	<u>+</u> 5.5	<u>+</u> 18.0	
Trained	20.6	179.1	66.1	
Runners	<u>+</u> 2.2	<u>+</u> 3.9	<u>+</u> 17.0	

Physical Characteristics of The Subjects

#### Testing Procedures

The study was conducted in the Human Performance Laboratory at Eastern Illinois University in the fall of 1989. The subjects read and signed an informed consent (Appendix A) to participate in the study. The subjects participated in a series of maximal oxygen consumption exercise tests performed on a Monark cycle ergometer equipped with toe straps. Subjects first completed a continuous graded exercise test which started at a workrate of 720 kgm/min and was increased every two minutes until the subject could not maintain the required 50 rpm. Subjects then completed a series of daily discontinuous supramaximal exercise tests, which consisted of maintaining a preset workrate for at least 90 seconds.

The subsequent constant power outputs for the discontinuous tests were determined from the initial continuous test as one higher than the final power output attained and were increased each day until the subject could no longer perform the workrate for 90 seconds (Table 2). All subjects were allowed a period of three to five minutes in which to warm up before each exercise test. The pedal frequency was increased to attain the higher power outputs for the discontinuous tests.

Oxygen consumption was measured using an open circuit spirometry system. Expired air was sampled every 15 seconds from a baffled mixing chamber to determine the fractional components of oxygen (Applied Electrochemistry Analyzer S-3A) and carbon dioxide (Applied Electrochemistry Analyzer CD-3A). Ventilation (STPD), oxygen consumption, carbon dioxide production, and respiratory exchange ratio were calculated using an on-line computer system with Rayfield software. Prior to each exercise testing session both the oxygen analyzer and carbon dioxide analyzer were calibrated to both ambient air and a standard gas mixture. The bicycle ergometer was calibrated between tests to ensure correct power outputs.

#### Table 2

Power Output (kgm/min)	Pedal Rate (rpm)	Tension (kp)	
720	60	2	
1080	60	3	
1440	60	4	
1800	60	5	
2025	75	4.5	
2250	75	5	
2400	80	5	
2640	80	5.5	
2805	85	5.5	

#### Power Outputs Used In Maximal Tests

#### <u>Procedures for Treating the Data</u>

Peak oxygen consumption (Peak VO<sub>2</sub>) during the last 30 seconds of each power output was plotted against time to attempt to illustrate whether or not a plateau was attained.

Oxygen consumption vs. power output values for each subject were analyzed to determine if an oxygen consumption plateau occurred. A plateau was identified if there was less than a 0.15 L/min increase in oxygen consumption between the peak VO<sub>2</sub> obtained on a continuous test and the mean of the peak VO<sub>2</sub> values obtained on the supramaximal discontinuous tests (Taylor, 1955).

#### CHAPTER 4

#### ANALYSIS OF THE DATA

#### Introduction

The purpose of the present study is to compare whether a difference in frequency of attainment of a "plateau" in oxygen consumption can be observed in the power output vs. oxygen consumption relationship in highly trained endurance athletes versus highly trained strength athletes. One group (TR) of 12 males (ages 18-36) were trained runners and the other group (WL) of 12 males (ages 18-31) consisted of trained weight lifters. The subjects participated in a series of maximal exercise tests performed on a cycle ergometer equipped with toe straps.

Subjects first completed a continuous graded exercise test which started at a power output of 720 kgm/min and increased every two minutes until exhaustion. Subjects then completed a series of daily discontinuous supramaximal exercise tests for at least 90 seconds.

This chapter will serve to identify:

- 1. The graphical treatment of the data.
- 2. The statistical treatment of the data.
- 3. The results.

#### Graphical Treatment

The graphs and tables of oxygen consumption for each power output for the trained runners (TR) are contained in

Appendix B. The graphs and tables of the oxygen consumption for each power output for the trained weight lifters (WL) are contained in Appendix C. The tables for each subject include Group, Power Output, VO2, as well as the type of test in which the power output was performed and whether or not the individual plateaued.

## Statistical Treatment

A 2 x 2 contingency table was used to classify the number of subjects in each group who achieved a plateau in maximal oxygen consumption. Chi Square was calculated in order to determine if there was a difference in frequency of attainment of a plateau between the TR and WL groups (Appendix D).

#### <u>Results</u>

The peak  $VO_2$  values for each maximal test for the weight lifters (WL) and the trained runners (TR) are contained in Table 4 and Table 5, respectively. The tables contain the peak  $VO_2$  values for the continuous test and all discontinuous tests performed. The difference of the continuous  $VO_2$  values and the mean of the discontinuous  $VO_2$ values is included and was used in determining whether or not a plateau in maximal oxygen consumption occurred.

Group	Subject	Test	VO2 (1/min)	Difference (l/min)
WL	1	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2	5.31 5.22 5.12	0.14
WL	2	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2 DISC. 3 DISC. 4	4.50 4.56 4.83 5.17 5.21	0.44
WL	3	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2 DISC. 3	4.78 4.79 4.97 5.01	0.14
WL	4	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2	4.80 4.75 4.93	0.04
WL	5	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2 DISC. 3	4.76 4.80 4.73 4.96	0.07
WL	6	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2 DISC. 3 DISC. 4	4.46 4.41 4.59 4.43 4.62	0.05
WL	7	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2 DISC. 3	4.63 4.55 5.04 5.09	0.26
WL	8	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2	5.22 5.14 5.40	0.05
WL	9	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2	3.80 4.09 3.79	0.14
WL	10	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2	4.52 4.53 4.49	0.01
WL	11	CONT. DISC. 1	4.90 4.92	0.02
WL	12	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2	4.00 4.08 4.02	0.05

Table 3 Peak VO<sub>2</sub> Values For Each Test (WL)

,
# <u>Table 4</u>

Group	Subject	Test	VO2 (l/min)	Difference (l/min)	
TR	13	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2 DISC. 3	4.73 4.94 4.69 4.77	0.07	
TR	14	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2 DISC. 3 DISC. 4	4.66 4.68 4.63 4.67 4.73	0.02	
TR	15	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2 DISC. 3	4.50 4.47 4.70 4.73	0.13	
TR	16	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2	4.53 4.53 4.61	0.04	
TR	17	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2 DISC. 3	4.59 4.44 4.57 4.68	0.03	
TR	18	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2 DISC. 3 DISC. 4	4.68 4.83 4.59 4.98 4.89	0.14	
TR	19	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2 DISC. 3 DISC. 4 DISC. 5	4.59 4.60 4.77 4.59 4.72 4.72	0.09	
TR	20	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2	4.26 4.26 4.51	0.13	
TR	21	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2 DISC. 3 DISC. 4	4.77 4.68 4.91 5.19 5.61	0.33	
TR	22	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2 DISC. 3 DISC. 4	4.39 4.39 4.68 4.46 4.46	0.11	
TR	23	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2	4.26 4.30 4.28	0.03	
TR	24	CONT. DISC. 1 DISC. 2 DISC. 3	4.73 4.69 4.67 4.73	0.03	

Peak  $VO_2$  Values For Each Test (TR)

The frequency of attainment of a plateau in oxygen consumption for the two groups is shown in Table 5. The Chi Square of 0.381 (Appendix D) was not statistically significant (p< .05) (Cohen, L, & Holliday, M. 1979). A plateau in oxygen consumption was attained in 88% (21) of all subjects, and in 83% (10) of the weight trained group and 92% (11) of the trained runners.

## Table 5

Frequency Of Plateau Attainment

No Plateau

Group

WT	10	2
TR	11	1

Plateau

## Discussion

A plateau criteria used similar to the one originally used by Taylor et al. (1955) was used for this study because it is probably the most frequently used criteria in the study of maximal exercise.

The cycle ergometer was chosen in an effort to remove possible bias towords the trained runners. Using a treadmill for maximal tests might have influenced plateau attainment in trained runners because of possible specificity of training factors (Davies et al., 1984; Corry & Powers 1982).

The use of toe clips may also have served to allow a greater muscle mass to be employed and to increase the ability to sustain the high power outputs performed.

A continuous-discontinuous protocol was employed in an

effort to obtain as many supramaximal peak O<sub>2</sub> consumption values as possible. The discontinuous tests served to decrease the effects of possible cumulative fatigue that may accompany continuous tests.

Pilot studies performed on similar trained subjects indicated that in order to terminate the continuous tests in 7 to 10 minutes (Pollock 1977), the two minute stages had to start at 720 kgm/min. This was mainly a result of the subjects in this study being trained rather than sedentary.

The sampling interval of 15 seconds has been shown to produce a small sampling variability comparable to other intervals in sampling (Myers et al. 1990). However, this sampling interval may have been a factor in those individuals who failed to show a plateau in VO<sub>2</sub>. It is seems possible that the variability in sampling may have affected the mean value of the discontinuous tests, thus affecting plateau attainment.

Because there was no significant difference between the groups, this study does not support Noakes's (1988) argument for a muscle power limitation to VO<sub>2</sub> max. If there had been a disproportionate number of subjects in the trained runners group who did not attain a plateau in oxygen consumption compared to the weight lifters this would have supported Noake's hypothesis of some type of "muscle power" limitation. Although the present study serves to underscore the classical concept of a "plateau in maximal oxygen consumption", the results may not apply to other populations and conditions studied.

## CHAPTER 5

## SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### Summary

It was the purpose of the present study to determine whether a "plateau" in oxygen consumption is observed in the power output-oxygen consumption relationship in trained endurance athletes less often than in strength trained athletes.

The subjects consisted of 24 male volunteers with 12 in each group. One group included Eastern Illinois University cross country team members and other experienced runners (ages 18-36) who were not involved in any form of weight training activity. The other group included individuals (ages 18-31) who had been involved regularly with weight training for more than one year and had not been involved in any other form of regular exercise.

The subjects, after signing an informed consent, participated in a series of maximal oxygen consumption exercise tests performed on a Monark cycle ergometer equipped with toe straps. Subjects first completed a continuous graded exercise test which started at a workload of 720 kgm/min and increased every two minutes until exhaustion. Subjects then completed a series of daily discontinuous supramaximal exercise tests, which consisted of maintaining a preset power output (beginning at the next higher workrate than the highest power output obtained on the continuous test) for at least 90 seconds. The subsequent constant workloads were increased until the

subject could no longer perform the workrate for 90 seconds. Pedal frequency was increased with the higher discontinuous workrates.

Oxygen consumption was plotted against time (at each 15 second interval) for each workrate. Frequency of plateau attainment was analyzed using a 2 x 2 contingency table in calculating the Chi Square value (0.381). A plateau in oxygen consumption was attained in 88% (22) of all subjects (24), and in 83% (10) of the weight trained group and 92% (11) of the trained runners. The Chi Square Test indicated that there is no statistically significant difference between the groupsm in frequency of attainment of a plateau during maximal testing.

## <u>Conclusions</u>

Based upon the results of this study the null hypothesis is accepted. There is no statistically significant difference between the number of subjects attaining a plateau in oxygen consumption in groups of endurance trained athletes vs. strength trained athletes. Under the conditions of the present study 91% (22 of 24) of all subjects were shown to have attained a maximal oxygen consumption plateau.

## <u>Recommendations</u>

Further investigations involving examination of frequency of attainment of a plateau in oxygen consumption should utilize methods that will enable subjects to perform at maximal work levels for longer periods of time. Methods

to accomplish this may include utilization of legs and arms during maximal tests to enable the subject to perform fixed severe workloads for longer periods of time by employing a greater muscle mass (Gutin et al. 1988).

These studies should include trained as well as sedentary individuals for plateau frequency comparison. The studies should also test groups of subjects using different modes (ie. treadmill, cycle ergometer etc.).

Protocols similar to that used in the present study (a continuous test followed by a series of discontinuous tests) may also be employed to more precisely determine a plateau in oxygen consumption. Future studies should seek to compare different homogeneous groups of subjects in helping to identify factors which may affect the demonstration of a plateau in oxygen consumption.

Studies in which maximal oxygen consumption is measured should identify the criteria used for maximal oxygen consumption as well as report the actual number of subjects who plateau.

#### REFERENCES

- Astrand, I., Astrand, P. O., & Rodahl, K. (1959). Maximal heartrate during work in older men. <u>Journal of Applied</u> <u>Physiology, 14</u>, 562-566.
- Astrand, I., Guharay, A., & Wahren, J. (1968). Circulatory responses to arm exercise with different arm positions. Journal of Applied Physiology, 25, 528-532.
- Astrand, P. O. (1967). Measurement of maximal aerobic capacity. <u>Canadian Medical Journal, 96</u>, 732-735.
- Astrand, P. O., & Saltin, B. (1961). Maximal oxygen uptake and heartrate in various types of muscular activity. Journal of Applied Physiology, 16, 977-981.
- Balke, B., & Ware, R. (1959). An experimental study of physical fitness of Air Force personnel. <u>U.S. Armed</u> <u>Forces Med. J., 10</u>, 675-688
- Beaver, W. L., Wasserman, K., Whipp, B. J. (1973). Online computer analysis and breath-by-breath display of exercise function tests. <u>Journal of Applied Physiology</u>, <u>34</u>, 128-132.
- Bevegard, S., Freyschuss, U., & Strandell, T. (1966). Circulatory adaptation to arm and leg exercise in supine and sitting positon. Journal of Applied Physiology, 21, 37-47.
- Cohen, L., & Holliday, M. (1979). <u>Statistics for Education</u> <u>and Physical Education</u> (3rd ed.). New York: London.
- Corry, I., & Powers, N. (1982). Maximal aerobic power measurement in runners and swimmers. <u>British Journal of</u> <u>Sports Medicine, 16</u>, 154-160.
- Cumming, G. R., & Borysyk, L. M. (1972). Criteria for maximum oxygen uptake in men over 40 in a population survey. <u>Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise</u>, <u>14</u>, 18-22.
- Cumming, G. R., & Friesen, W. (1967). Bicycle ergometer measurement of maximal oxygen uptake in children. <u>Canadian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology Review,</u> <u>45</u>, 937-946.
- Cunningham, D. A., Macfarlane Van Waterschoot, B., Paterson, D. H., Lefcoe, M., & Sangal, S. P. (1977). Reliability and reproducibility of maximal oxygen uptake measurement in children. <u>Medicine and Science in Sports</u> <u>and Exercise</u>, 9, 104-108.

- Davies, B., Dagget, A., Jakeman, P., & Mulhall, J. (1984). Maximum oxygen uptake utilizing different treadmill protocols. <u>British Journal of Sports Medicine, 18</u>, 74-79.
- Davis, J. A., Whipp, B. J., Lamarra, N., Huntsman, D. J., Frank, M. N., Wasserman K. (1982). Effect of ramp slope on determination of aerobic parameters from the ramp exercise test. <u>Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 14</u>, 339-343
- Diaz, F. J., Hagan, R. D., Wright, J. E., & Horvath, S. M. (1978). Maximal and submaximal exercise in different positions. <u>Medicine and Science in Sports and</u> <u>Exercise, 10</u>, 214-217.
- Faulkner, J. A., Roberts, D. E., Elk, R. L., & Conway, J. (1971). Cardiovascular response to maximum effort cycling and running. <u>Journal of Applied Physiology</u>, <u>30</u>, 457-461.
- Freedson, P., Kline, G., Porcari, J., Hintermeister, R., McCarron, R., Ross, J., Ward, A., Gurry, M., & Rippe, J. (1986). Criteria for defining max: a new approach to an old problem. <u>Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 18</u>, S36.
- Froelicher, Jr. V. E., Brammel, H., Davis, G., Noguera, I., Stewert, A., & Lancaster, M. C. (1974). A comparison of three maximal treadmill exercise protocols. Journal of Applied Physiology, 36, 720-725.
- Gollnick, P. D., Armstrong, R. B., Saubert, W., Piehl, K., Saltin B. (1972). Enzyme activity and fiber composition in skeletal muscle of untrained and trained men. Journal of Applied Physiology, 33, 312-319
- Glassford, R. G., Baycroft, G. H. Y., & Sedgwick, A. W. (1965). Comparison of maximal oxygen uptake values determined by predicted and actual methods. <u>Journal of</u> <u>Applied Physiology, 20</u>, 509-513.
- Gutin, B., Ang, K. E., Torrey, K. (1988). Cardiorespiratory responses to incremental and constant load ergometry with arms and legs. <u>Archives of Physical Medical</u> <u>Rehabilitation, 69</u>, 510-513.
- Hammond, H. K., & Froelicher, V. F. (1984). Exercise testing for cardiorespiratory fitness. <u>Sports Medicine</u>, 1, 234-239.
- Harrison, M. H., Brown, G. A., & Cochran, L. A. (1980). Maximal oxygen uptake : its measurement, applications, and limitations. <u>Avaition and Space Environmental</u> <u>Medicine, 51</u>, 1123-1127.

- Hermansen, L., Ekblom, B., & Saltin, B. (1970). Cardiac output during submaximal and maximal treadmill and bicycle exercise. Journal of Applied Physiology, 29, 82-86.
- Hermansen, L., & Saltin, B. (1969). Oxygen uptake during maximal treadmill and bicycle exercise. <u>Journal of</u> <u>Applied Physiology, 26</u>, 31-37.
- Hill, A. V., & Lupton, H. (1923). Muscular exercise, lactic acid, and the supply and utilization of oxygen. <u>Quarterly Journal of Medicine, 16</u>, 135-171.
- Kamon, E., & Pandolf, K. B. (1972). Maximal aerobic power during ladder climbing, uphill running, and cycling. <u>Journal of Applied Physiology, 32</u>, 467-473.
- Katch, V. L., Sady. S., & Freedson, P. (1982). Biological variability in maximum aerobic power. <u>Medicine and</u> <u>Science in Sports and Exercise, 14</u>, 21-25.
- Lavoie, N. F., Mahony, M. D., & Luciano, S. M. (1978). Maximal oxygen uptake on a bicycle ergometer without toe stirrups and with toe stirrups versus a treadmill. <u>Canadian Journal of Applied Sports Sciences, 3</u>, 99-102.
- Magel, J. R., Foglia, G. F., McArdle, W. D., Gutin, B., & Pelcher, G. S. (1975). Specificity of swim training on maximum oxygen uptake. <u>Journal of Applied Physiology</u>, <u>38</u>, 151-155.
- Maksud, M. G., & Couts, K. D. (1971). Comparison of a continuous and discontinuous graded treadmill test for maximal oxygen uptake. <u>Medicine and Science in Sports</u> <u>and Exercise, 3</u>, 63-65.
- Matthews, J. I., Bush, B. A., & Morales, F. M. (1987). Microprocessor exercise physiology systems vs. a nonautomated system. A comparison of data output. <u>Chest, 92</u>, 696-703.
- McArdle, W. D., Katch, F. L., & Pecher, G. S. (1973). Comparison of continuous and discontinuous treadmill and bicycle tests for max . <u>Medicine and Science in</u> <u>Sports and Exercise, 5</u>, 156-160.
- McArdle, W. D., & Magel, J. R. (1970). Physical work capacity and maximum oxygen uptake in treadmill and bicycle exercise. <u>Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 2</u>, 118-123.
- McArdle, W. D., Magel, J. R., Delio, D. J., Tonet, M., & Chase, J. M. (1978). Specificity of run training on max, and heart rate changes during running and swimming. <u>Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise</u>, <u>10</u>, 16-20.

- McConnell, T. R., Swett, D. D., Jeresaty, R. M., Missri, J. C., & Al-Hani, A. J. (1984). The hemodynamic and physiologic differences between exercise modalities. <u>Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness, 24</u>, 238-245.
- McKay, G. A., & Banister, E. W. (1976). A comparison of maximum oxygen uptake determination by bicycle ergometry at various pedaling frequencies and by treadmill running at various speeds. <u>European Journal</u> of Applied Physiology, 35, 191-200.
- McMiken, D. F., & Daniels, J. T. (1976). Aerobic requirements and maximum aerobic power in treadmill and track running. <u>Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 8</u>, 14-17, 1976.
- Miles, D. S., Critz, J. B., & Knowlton, R. G. (1980). Cardiovascular, metabolic, and ventilatory responses of women to equivalent cycle ergometer and treadmill exercise. <u>Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise</u>, 12, 14-17.
- Mitchell, J. H., Sproule, B. J., & Chapman, C. B. (1958). The physiological meaning of the maximal oxygen intake test. Journal of Clinical Investigation, 37, 538-547.
- Miyamura, M., & Honda, Y. (1972). Oxygen intake and cardiac output during maximal treadmill and bicycle exercise. Journal of Applied Physiology, 32, 185-188.
- Myers, J., Walsh, J., Sullivan M., & Froelicher, V. F. (1990). Effect of sampling on variability of and plateau in oxygen uptake. <u>J. Applied Physiology,</u> <u>68</u>,404-410
- Neiderberger, M., Bruce, R. A., & Kusumi, F. (1974). Disparities in ventilatory and circulatory responses to bicycle and treadmill training. <u>British Heart Journal</u>, <u>36</u>, 377-382.
- Noakes, T. D. (1988). Implications of exercise Testing for prediction of athletic performance: A contemporary perspective. <u>Medicine and Science in Sports Exercise</u>, <u>20</u>, 319-330
- Pelcher, G. S., McArdle, W. D., Katch, F. I., Magel, J. R., & DeLuca, J. (1974). Specificity of cardiorespiratory adaptation to bicycle and treadmill training. <u>Journal</u> <u>of Applied Physiology, 36</u>, 753-756.
- Pollock, M. L., Bohannon, K., Cooper B. (1976). A comparative analysis of four protocols for maximal treadmill stress testing. <u>American Heart Journal, 92</u>, 39-46

- Pollock, M. L. (1977). Submaximal and maximal working capacity of elite distance runners. Part I: Cardiorespiratory aspects. <u>Annals of the New York</u> <u>Academy of Science, 301</u>, 310-321.
- Rowland, T. W. (1993). Does peak VO<sub>2</sub> reflect VO2<sub>max</sub>in children? :evidence from supramaximal testing. <u>Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 25</u>, 689-693.
- Sellers, W. R. (1990). A continuous-discontinuous treadmill protocol for the determination of aerobic capacity in healthy older women. <u>Medicine and Science</u> <u>in Sports and Exercise, 22</u>, 24S
- Sheehan, J. M., Rowland, T. W., Burke, E. J. (1987). A comparison of four treadmill protocols for determination of maximal oxygen uptake in 10-12 year old boys. <u>International Journal of Sports Medicine, 8</u>, 31-34.
- Shephard, R. J., Alenn, C., Benade, A. J. S., Davies, C. T. M., & de Prampero, P. E. (1968). The maximal oxygen intake - an international reference standard of cardiorespiratory fitness. <u>Bulletin of the World</u> <u>Health Organization, 38</u>, 757-764.
- Shephard, R. J., Bouhlel, E., Vandewalle, H., & Monod, H. (1988). Muscle mass as a factor limiting physical work. Journal of Applied Physiology, 64, 1472-1479.
- Sidney, R. H., & Shephard, R. J. (1977). Maximum testing of men and women in the seventh, eighth, and ninth decades of life. <u>Journal of Applied Physiology</u>, 43, 280-287, 1977.
- Smodlaka, V. N. (1982). Treadmills vs bicycle ergometers. <u>Physician and Sports Medicine, 10</u>, 75-79.
- Stamford, B. A. (1975). Maximal oxygen uptake during treadmill walking and running at various speeds. Journal of Applied Physiology, 39, 386-389.
- Stamford, B. A. (1976). Step increment versus constant load tests for determination of maximal oxygen consumption. <u>European Journal of Applied Physiology, 35</u>, 89-93.
- Stamford, B. A., Rowland, R., & Moffatt, R. J. (1978). Effect of severe prior exercise on assessment of maximal oxygen uptake. Journal of Applied Physiology, 44, 559-563.
- Stenberg, J., Astrand, P. O., Ekblom, B., Royce, J., & Saltin, B. (1967). Hemodynamic response to work with different muscle groups, sitting and supine. Journal of Applied Physiology, 22, 61-70.

- Taylor, C. I. (1944).Some properties of maximal and submaximal exercise with reference to physiology variation and the measurement of exercise tolerance. <u>American Journal of Physiology, 142</u>, 200-212
- Taylor, H. L., Buskirk, E., & Henschel, A. (1955). Maximal oxygen intake as an objective measure of cardiorespiratory performance. <u>Journal of Applied</u> <u>Physiology, 8</u>, 73-80.
- Walsh D., Myers J., Atwood J. E., Sullivan M., Froelicher M. (1988). Optimal sampling interval for oxygen uptake during exercise. <u>Chest, 94, 965</u>
- Wyndham, C. H., Strydom, N. B., Leary, & Williams, C. G. (1966). Studies of the maximum capacity of men for physical effort. Part I. A comparison of methods of assessing the maximum oxygen intake. <u>Internationale</u> <u>Zeitschrift fur Angewandte Physiology, 22</u>, 285-295.
- Wyndham, C. H., Strydom, N. B., Maritz, J. S., Morrison, J. F., Peter, J., & Potgieter, Z. U. (1959). Maximum oxygen intake and maximum heartrate during strenuous work. Journal of Applied Physiology, 14, 927-936.
- Zauner, C. W., & Benson, N. Y. (1981). Continuous treadmill walking versus intermittent treadmill running as maximal exercise tests for young competitive swimmers. <u>Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness, 21</u>, 173-178
- Zhang, Y.-Y., Johnson II, M. C., Chow N., Wasserman, K. (1991). Effect of exercise testing protocol on parameters of aerobic function. <u>Medicine and Science in</u> <u>Sports and Exercise, 23</u>, 625-630.

# APPENDIX A: INFORMED CONSENT

#### INFORMED CONSENT

The subject is being asked to participate in a research study being conducted by Jeff Seda, a graduate student at Eastern Illinois University. The purpose of the study is to determine whether or not there is a difference between trained runners and trained weight lifters in showing a "plateau" in oxygen consumption.

To be included in the study the subject will be required to maintain regular training (weight training or run training) and will be ask not to participate in the opposite type of training, i.e. runners should not weight train and weight lifters should not participate in aerobic training.

The study requires that the subject perform a series of maximal exercise tests using a bicycle ergometer. The first test will be one in which the subject will be required to pedal at a given revolutions per minute (rpm) with the workrate being increased every 2 minutes, until the subject can no longer maintain the rpms. The subsequent tests will be performed on separate days and will require the subject to pedal at a workrate higher than the previous day. The testing will end when the subject can no longer maintain the required power output for at least 90 seconds.

The subjects may withdraw from the study for any reason at any time upon notifying the investigators.

I understand that the results of the study may be published in the medical literature and my identity will not be disclosed.

I have read the attached description and have had any questions regarding the study answered. If I have further questions regarding the study, I may have them answered at any time by the investigators.

Volunteers's Signature Signature Investigators

APPENDIX B: GRAPHS-VO2 VS POWER OUTPUT (WL)

I			VC	)2 (L/mir	n)		
12 12		1.00-	2.00-	3.00-	4.00-	5.00-	6.00
ਤ ਤ							
Group WL	500						
0	<b>P</b> .		+				
Type CON DISC	Tes:			+			Sut
							<u> </u>
Kgm, 102 14 14 222 240	500 PUT			+			2
	2(Kgm				+		12
	/min)						
<u>min</u> .19 .00 .02	02 23				+ +		
Plat	50						
YES	3						
		L					

ï

Figure 12

1	
1	VO2 (L/min)
Subje	6.00 5.00 4.00 2.00 1.00 0.00
ů,	
Grou	SO O
a	
° ¬ ¬ ¬ ¬ ¬ ¬ ¬ ¬ ¬ ¬ ¬ ¬ ¬ ¬	Die Die
Kgm 10 22 24 26	1500 + Ct
20 20 80 40 50 50	(Kgm + 11
4 4 4 3 3 3 9 P <b>-</b>	/min)
<u>nin)</u> 07 05 64 78 78 90	т К. +
Plat	8 +
eau ?	3
I	8

ţ

Figure 11

(L/m	in)		
ය. 00-	4.00-	5.00-	6.00
			ر د
			D

				VO2	2 <b>(</b> L/min)	)		
10	Cibiort	0-	1.00-	2.00-	3.00-	4.00-	5.00-	6.00
WL		500		+				
Iype CONT CONT CONT DISC	Test	1000 POWER OL			ŀ			Subj
Kgm/min 720 1080 1440 1800 2025 2400	Kamin	1500 JTPUT (Kgi			+	+		ect 10
(L/min) 2.07 2.71 3.48 4.52 4.53 4.49	VO2	2000 m/min)				+		
YES		2500				+		
~	ა	3000						

Figure 10



46



YES



Subject ω

Group

₹

1.99 2.95 3.77 4.70 4.99 5.22 5.14 5.40

YES

Figure 8

		VC	)2 (L/m	in)		
0.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	6.00
	<b>l</b>	J		Ī	ī	1
(7)						
Ö						
P J		+				
			+			ပြ
						<u>b</u>
1500 TPUT				+		e Ci
(Kgr					+	
2000 n/mir						
-	· .				+	
2500					+	
-					Ŧ	
3000						]
0						
	0.00 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 POWER OUTPUT (Kgm/min)	1.00- 0.00 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 POWER OUTPUT (Kgm/min)	VC 2.00- 4 0.000 500 1000 1500 2000 POWER OUTPUT (Kgm/min)	VO2 (L/m 2.00 500 500 1.00 500 1000 + + + + POWER OUTPUT (Kgm/min)	VO2 (L/min) 1.00 500 4.00 500 4.00 500 1000 4.00 500 1000 1500 2500 2500 3000 4.00 4.00 4.00 500 1000 1500 2500 3000 4.00 4.00 4.00 500 1000 1500 2500 3000 1500 100 1	VO2 (L/min) 4.00 5.00 500 500 500 1000 FOWER OUTPUT (Kgm/min) 500 500 500 500 500 500 500 50

,

Figure 7

48



Figure 6

1.		0.0	1.0	22 22	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	nin) . <u>4</u>	5.	
2 2 2	s hiert	0 0		0	-00	00-	00-	00
A loop	Group	500		+				
CONT DISC	Test	1000 POWER OL			+			Sub
720 1080 1440 2250 2805	Kom/min	1500 S JTPUT (Kgm				+	+	ject 5
(L/11111) 2.91 3.79 4.76 4.80 4.80 4.96	VO2	2000 2 1/min) 2					+	
YES	Plateau	3500 a					•	
	-	000 00						]

ţ

Figure 5



					4	Subject	
					٧L	Group	
DISC	CONT	CONT	CONT	CONT	CONT	Type	Test
2805	2250	1800	1440	1080	720	Kgm/min	
4./ 3 4.93	4.80	3.93	3.85	2.97	2.18	(L/min)	V02
YES						Plateau?	

.

Figure 4

					VO2 (L/n	nin)		
3 3		0.00 0+	2 1.00 2 00	2.00-	3.00-	4.00-	5.00-	6.00
Group WL	)	500			ŀ			
Type CONT CONT CONT DISC	Test	1000 POWER OL			+			Sub
Kgm/min 720 1080 1440 1800 2250 2400		1500 2 JTPUT (Kgm				+ +		ject 3
(L/min) 2.22 2.85 3.47 3.95 4.78 4.79	V02	000 (min)					+	
Plateau	2	2500					+ + +	
  -?	þ	3000						

.

							ω	ubject		
							۶L	Group		
DISC	DISC	DISC	CONT	CONT	CONT	CONT	CONT	Type	Test	
2640	2550	2400	2250	1800	1440	1080	720	Kgm/min		
5.01	5.05	4.79	4.78	3.95	3.47	2.85	2.22	(L/min)	V02	
YES								Plateau?		

Figure 3



			V	02 (L/m	in)		
Subject 2	0.000	1.00-	2.00-	3.00-	4.00-	5.00-	6.00
Group WL	500		+				
Test Type CONT CONT	1000 POWER OI			+			Sub
Kgm/min 720 1080 1440	1500 2 JTPUT (Kgm				+	f	ject 2
VO2 (L/min) 2.06 3.08	2000 2 1/min) 2					+ +	
Plateau ?	500 30					++	
'							]

,

							N	Subject	
							٧L	Group	
DISC	DISC	DISC	DISC	CONT	CONT	CONT	CONT	Type	Test
2805	2640	2400	2250	1800	1440	1080	720	Kgm/min	
5.21	5.17	4.83	4.56	4.50	3.67	3.08	2.06	(L/min)	V02
NO								Plateau ?	

	r								
				VC	)2 (L/m	in)			
1	P bioct	0.00	1.00-	2.00-	3.00-	4.00-	5.00-	6.00	
WL		500		+					
CONT CONT CONT DISC	Test	1000 POWER OL			+			Sub	
Ngm/min 720 1080 1440 1800 2250 2200 2805	Kom/min	1500 2 JTPUT (Kgm				+	+	ject 1	
(L/min) 2.16 2.88 3.79 4.70 5.31 5.22 5.12	V02	2000 2					+		
YES	Distant	2500 30					+		
		Ŏ	, ,						

•

Figure 1

APPENDIX C: GRAPHS-VO2 VS POWER OUTPUT (TR)

•

	VO2 (L/min)
Subject 24	6.00 1.00 0.00 0 1.00 0 1.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Group TR	бо- Со- Н
	Test Test
Kgm/mir 720 1080 1440 1800 2025 2250 2400 2640	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
1 ( <u>L'min</u> ) 2.05 2.81 3.57 4.40 4.73 4.69 4.67	2000 + VO2 +
Plateau ` YES	τ
~	

,

Figure 24

Subject Group 23 TR	0.00 0 500 P	1.00-	V( 2.00- +	02 (L/m .0 6	iin) 4.00-	5.00-	6.00
Test Type CONT CONT CONT DISC	1000 DWER OL			+			Subj
Kgm/min 720 1080 1440 1800 2025 2250	1500 20 JTPUT (Kgm/				+ +		ect 23
VO2 (L/min) 1.90 2.83 3.57 4.26 4.30 4.28	00 25				+		
Plateau ? YES	3000						

,

Figure 23

I	VO2 (L/min)
Subject 22	6.00 5.00 4.00 3.00 - 1.00 -
Group TR	б- 6- +
Type Type CONT CONT CONT CONT DISC DISC DISC	POWER OI
Kgm/min 720 1080 1440 1800 2025 2250 2400 2640 2805	+ + <b>PCT 22</b>
(Lyon 1.95 2.67 3.43 4.25 4.39 4.39 4.39 4.46 4.46	1/min) 22 +
Plateau ? YES	500 + + 3000

ţ

Figure 22

58

VO2 (L/min) 	
бо- -	
POWER OL	0.17
+ + F	い 
1/min) 2 20000 + + +	
500 + 3000	
	VO2 (L/min) 5.00 5.00 1.00 5.00 5.00 + + + + + + + + + + + + +

¢

ę.

Figure 21

Subject 20	VO2 (L/min) .0. 1. N. 3. 4. 5. .00 00 00 00 00 00 0	6.00
Group TR	б- 6-	
Test Type CONT CONT CONT CONT DISC DISC	POWER C +	Sub
Kgm/min 720 1080 1440 1800 2025 2250	+ + 1500 +	ect 20
VO2 (L/min) 1.97 2.52 3.73 4.26 4.26 4.51	n/min) +	
Plateau ? YES	300 300	
I	ŏ	

•

Figure 20

Subject Group 19 TR	VO2 (L/min) 5.00 0.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00 5.000 5.0000 5.0000 5.0000 5.0000 5.0000 5.0000 5.0000 5.0000 5.0000 5.00000 5.0000 5.000000 5.0000000000
VO2 VO2   ype Kgm/min (L/min) Plateau ?   ONT 720 2.12   ONT 1080 2.94   ONT 1440 3.84   ONT 1800 4.59   ONT 1800 4.59   ONT 1800 4.59   OISC 2250 4.77   DISC 2640 4.72   DISC 2640 4.72	Ubject 19 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +

,

Figure 19

Subject 18	VO2 (L/min) .0. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. .00 00 00 00 00 0 00 0 00 00 0 00
Group	50- 00-
Type CONT CONT CONT CONT CONT CONT DISC DISC DISC	POWER O
Kgm/min 720 1080 1440 2025 2250 2400 2640 2805	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
VO2 (L/min) 2.92 3.94 4.34 4.83 4.83 4.83 4.83 4.83	Vmin) 25
Plateau ? YES	

,

Figure 18

Subject 17	VO2 (L/min) 0.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Group TR	бо- м
Test Type CONT CONT CONT CONT CONT CONT CONT CONT	POWER O
Kgm/min 720 1080 1440 2025 2250 2400 2640 2805	есt 17 + + Т итрит (Кар
VO2 (L/min) 2.16 2.79 3.58 4.27 4.30 4.59 4.59 4.59 4.57	1/min) + +
Plateau ? YES	80 + ₩ ₩
, I	0

Figure 17
Subject 16							
Group TR	50- 00-						
Type CONT CONT CONT DISC	TO 1000 +	Subj					
Kgm/min 720 1080 1440 1800 2025 2250	+ + 1500 + JTPUT (Kgm	ect 16					
(L/min) 2.29 2.97 3.83 4.53 4.53 4.61	10000 + 10000 + 10000 +						
Plateau ? YES	8 8 9						
•	0						

Figure 16

Subject 15	VO2 (L/min) 0.00 0.1.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0	
Group TR	50- 00-	
Test Type CONT CONT CONT DISC DISC	POWER 0 +	Subi
Kgm/min 720 1080 1440 2025 2250 2400 2640	1500 + UTPUT (Кgn	ect 15
VO2 (L/min) 1.92 2.54 3.33 4.26 4.50 4.47 4.70 4.73		
Plateau ? YES	500 + 300	
'	0	

Figure 15

	I		V02 (L/r	nin)	
	Subject 14	0.000	3.00- 2.00-	4.00-	6.00
	Group TR	500	+		
	Test CONT	1000 POWER O	+		Subj
1000 1440 2025 2250 2400 2640 2805	Kgm/mir 720	1500 UTPUT (Kg		+	ect 1
4.68 4.73 4.68 4.63 4.67	VO2 (L/min) 2.37	2000 m/min)		+ +	4
ΥE	Plateau	2500		+ + +	
<i>。</i>	<u>;</u>	<u>30</u>			

Figure 14

			1					VC	)2 (L/n	nin)		
		13	Subject			0.00	1.00-	2.00-	3.00-	4.00-	5.00-	6.00
		Ē	Group			500		÷				
DISC DISC	CONT	CONT	Туре	Test	POWER OI	1000		·	+			Subj
2025 2250 2400	1440 1800	1080	Kgm/min		JTPUT (Kgn	1500				+	+	ect 13
4.94 4.69 4.77	3.71 4.73	2.90	(L/min)	V02	ı/min)	25					+ + +	
YES			Plateau?			3000						

Figure 13

## APPENDIX D: CALCULATION OF CHI SQUARE STATISTIC

## <u>Table 6</u>

ŗ

## 2 X 2 Contingency Table

## Status

		Plate	eau		No Plateau			
Group	WT	10	D		2	12		
	TR	1:	1		1			
		23	21		3			
		x <sup>2</sup> =	<u>24(10-(</u> (12)(12	<u>11) (2))<sup>2</sup></u> 2) (22) (3)				
		x <sup>2</sup> =	<u>3456</u> 9072					
		$x^{2} =$	0.381					